

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/035,832	MORRIS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		ANNE L. HOLLERAN	1643

All Participants:

(1) ANNE L. HOLLERAN.

(2) Micheal Hebert.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2009

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative authorized examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error in claim 43, and authorized charging fees so that the extension of time may be for 4 Months instead of 2 Months, which was already paid. Application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)